The First World War, and Cambuslang

In Part 1, we tried to reconstruct McEwen’s early days in Dyke, Moray, and his training as an engineer. We discussed how he came to direct the BAA’s Mercury & Venus Section, and described his early observational work carried out from the heights of Mount Florida, Glasgow.

In 1916, in the middle of the First World War, McEwen and his family moved to Cambuslang (population circa 25,000), a small town south of the Clyde, and some five miles SE of Glasgow. McEwen’s move was surely driven more by professional circumstances than by a personal search for better skies, but nevertheless it turned out to his advantage. Describing local history, Ian Cormack writes that the Clyde’s Mill Power Station was erected there in 1916 on the site of the former Clyde Valley Power Company Station, and that in the 1950s it was the largest in Scotland. Well before 1916 McEwen had become Chief Draughtsman with the Glasgow Corporation Electricity Department. Some G&WSTC Yearbook entries show that he continued to gain promotion: 1921/22 – ‘Technical Engineer’; 1925/26 – ‘Electrical Designer’; 1927/28 – ‘Assistant Constructional Engineer’. McEwen was designing generating equipment. The Corporation’s archives do not list him in their salary records until 1920, when he appears as ‘Principal Assistant’ under ‘Engineers, Constructional’ on a salary of £300. He retired in 1933 on a final salary of £550. For these years this would have been very good pay.

The McEwens’ new address was ‘Rosebank’, 13 Holmhill Avenue, a street situated on high ground in the Kirkhill district of the town. (No doubt the house was named after that of Henry’s mother: Rosebank, Fife, though there are other local uses of the name.) The house was newly built: a typical six-room semi-detached stone house, with a small garden. The front garden faces east and the rear faces west. It is not far from the old Kirkhill Parish church – a key element in the Scottish Evangelical Revival of the 18th century – and I understand from local sources that the area ‘up the hill’ was regarded as the most affluent area of the town. We give a picture of Kirkhill and its church in 1952 to show some ‘local colour’, and the attractive stone-built houses in that area (Figure 1). We can also illustrate the panoramas McEwen would have enjoyed from the upstairs windows of his home (Figure 2).

The McEwens’ new address was ‘Rosebank’, 13 Holmhill Avenue, a street situated on high ground in the Kirkhill district of the town. (No doubt the house was named after that of Henry’s mother: Rosebank, Fife, though there are other local uses of the name.) The house was newly built: a typical six-room semi-detached stone house, with a small garden. The front garden faces east and the rear faces west. It is not far from the old Kirkhill Parish church – a key element in the Scottish Evangelical Revival of the 18th century – and I understand from local sources that the area ‘up the hill’ was regarded as the most affluent area of the town. We give a picture of Kirkhill and its church in 1952 to show some ‘local colour’, and the attractive stone-built houses in that area (Figure 1). We can also illustrate the panoramas McEwen would have enjoyed from the upstairs windows of his home (Figure 2).

No doubt the war years were an especially busy time for McEwen professionally; by 1916 there was a desperate national shortage of engineers (and able-bodied men gener-
McEwen, Pickering and Venus

In 1920 McEwen received a communication from Prof Pickering of Jamaica13 (Figure 3) who surprised the astronomical world with the claim that Venus rotated on a highly inclined axis in a period of 68 hours. McEwen quickly made blueprints of Pickering’s chart for some of the Section’s members, and published it in the Journal (Figure 4).14 Very little could be confirmed by the Section members (who included A. Stanley Williams15 and W. H. Steavenson). Indeed, only the shadings about the cusps were recorded with certainty, and one cannot say that any support for Pickering was forthcoming at this time.

The history of the first half-century of the BAA8 notes how papers by McEwen were a frequent occurrence in the Journal in the late 1920s and throughout the ‘30s. The evening elongations in 1924 and 1927 were the most favourable in the eight-year ‘cycle’ of elongations, identical in presentation to the recent elongations in 1996 (or 2004) and 1999 (or 2007), and McEwen and other members of the Section made good use of them. Indeed, in 1924 there were some unusually dark markings visible in the Venusan atmosphere.16 In the 1920s and ‘30s, McEwen’s main BAA collaborators were R. L. T. Clarkson,17 W. H. Haas (from 1937)18 and M. B. B. Heath.19 Of all these well-known amateurs, Heath made by far the longest series of observations of the inner planets.20

In 1924 April, personal observations of the apparently changing position angle of a diagonal light area near the terminator provided McEwen with more definite support for the Pickering theory, and writing up the work in the Journal in 192621 he seemed confident that he had confirmed Pickering’s period and rotational axis. But B. M. Peek and W. H. Steavenson, though supporting the idea of a highly inclined axis, did not agree about the period, and published their work independently.22 Tantalisingly, Steavenson suggested a period of 8 days, roughly twice the accepted value. McEwen’s later analyses of the Section’s 1927 work23 are

The BAA Scottish branches

There were originally two BAA Scottish branches, but the one based in Glasgow (whose origin was described earlier) was to be the longest-surviving, continuing independently after 1954 as the Astronomical Society of Glasgow.8 Its meetings mostly took place at the Royal Technical College, Glasgow, where McEwen had trained to become an electrical engineer.9 McEwen was often on the Branch committee, either as a member or as Vice President, and for many years – until the late 1930s in fact – he lectured once or twice a year on some aspect of astronomy. Branch meetings were reported in detail in the Journal. In the early days the BAA also had an East of Scotland branch based in Edinburgh, and McEwen lectured on Mars there on 1901 January 19, just two nights after addressing his local branch about Saturn.

The West of Scotland Branch, like its London parent, held occasional Conversaziones. On such an occasion in 1909, McEwen described his recent Jupiter observations, whilst in 1924 it is recorded that he lent a globe of Mars and Venus.10

In 1919–21 McEwen served two sessions as Branch President, and his opening Address was about Venus. In this respect McEwen, on home ground so to speak, was able to overcome the problem of a speech impediment, for which he had had an operation as a boy. Ovenden’s obituary for McEwen noted that this handicap had caused him some embarrassment at meetings.10 McEwen’s retiring Address was entitled ‘An outline of the genesis and physical history of the Earth’.11 It had been an especially active session, with visits to local observatories and a tour of Glasgow’s sundials, as well as the usual extensive lecture programme. It must have given McEwen much pleasure to have presided over a lecture by the BAA’s founder, Walter Maunder, in 1920 October.

McEwen apparently ceased to take part in the affairs of the Scottish branch (as it was known from 1937 onwards) after World War II,12 but we must remember that in 1944 he was 80 years old. The Journal shows that the 1943/’44 session was to be his final one as a Councillor.
The year 1929 was to be a highly significant one. Both Antoniadi and McEwen were mapping Mercury, and they independently published their charts in the 1928–'29 volume of the BAA Journal. Antoniadi’s chart, a preliminary version of his final map in La Planète Mercure,26 appeared in part 3,27 and a series of papers by McEwen based upon the Section’s work appeared in parts 1, 4 and 8 (with his BAA Mercury map in part 8).28 At that epoch no-one had yet challenged the view of Schiaparelli that Mercury’s rotation was synchronous, and performed in 88 days. McEwen stressed the difficulty of measuring such a slow rotation on a tiny disk, but his own work since 1909 had given him confidence in the long period. Both Antoniadi and McEwen delineated very broad albedo features on Mercury, nicely corresponding to the details of Schiaparelli but with less regularity or narrowness. It had taken McEwen three decades to accumulate enough observational work to confidently draw up the BAA chart, but the result was well worth the wait. Both observers would add to their work later; Antoniadi in 193426 (Figure 6) and McEwen in 193629 (Figure 5). The final maps are reproduced here. Given the difficulty of the observations, there is essentially no material difference between them, as McEwen truly commented.

For the BAA chart, McEwen had drawn mostly upon his own work and the 1890s drawings by Major P. B. Molesworth of Sri Lanka (then Ceylon).30 Paper 2 in his 1928–'29 Mercury series included a plate with examples of these. McEwen once wrote that his drawings taken at an evening elongation in 1923 were the most interesting he had made up till that time.31 To McEwen the colour of Mercury most often appeared chrome yellow, though he realised that the variable depth of the blue sky background affected the perceived tint.32 To try to appreciate the appearance of Mercury and Mars drawings by McEwen illustrating the greater complexity and contrast of the martian markings. Images are paired for equal apparent diameters. Numbers beneath drawings are distances from Earth in millions of miles. Reproduced from J. Brit. Astron. Assoc., 39, 27 (1928)
Lunar and hermographic geology

McEwen’s interest in geology (cited in Part 1) extended to planetary geology, then a very new subject in those pre-Space Age days. McEwen wrote about the probable geological history of the Moon, and in this respect his work has been noticed in some quarters. Writing in the 1968 *Yearbook of Astronomy*, G. N. Katterfeld acknowledges McEwen as one of the authors who first subdivided the ante- and post-marque stages of lunar history into smaller periods of growth, starting with Hommel (1919) and ending with Shoemaker and Hackman (1960, ‘62, ‘63). Specifically Katterfeld (op. cit., page 189) attributes ‘Stage III’ in the ante-mare period to Philip Fauth (1907), Hommel (1919) and McEwen (1929). The full reference is not quoted but it clearly corresponds to the third of McEwen’s three Mercury papers in the BAA *Journal* of that year, in which he compared the present aspect of Mercury with a hypothetical past phase of the Moon.28 In the same paper McEwen compared the dark areas on Mercury with the lunar maria, though from their sometimes obscure appearance he considered that basaltic outflows might be occurring contemporaneously. (It is likely that in those years that McEwen failed to recognise the classic Schiaparellian markings – whose absence he took as evidence of their obscuration – he was actually viewing other, less familiar longitudes.)

McEwen obviously admired Pickering’s lunar work, and praised it in the pages of the *Journal*.36 Axel Firsoff37 also corresponded with McEwen about lunar matters. In a chapter from his *Strange World of the Moon* dealing with lunar colours, he quotes from a private letter in which McEwen had written of the occasional purple colour ‘resembling heather in bloom’ in some craters. McEwen became interested in the Moon again later, in connection with the nature of the bright areas of Mercury.

The interior planets in the ’thirties

Antoniadi and McEwen collaborated a good deal in the 1930s, though there is no evidence that they ever met. Antoniadi’s Venus drawings were published in the *Journal* in 1934 and from this time McEwen38 began to gravitate back towards the long rotation period which the Greek astronomer had advocated39. Antoniadi also convinced McEwen that the latter’s micrometrical measures of the crescent phase of Mercury in 1934 were affected by diffraction and irradiation in his smaller instrument and probably did not provide the supporting evidence of a Mercurian atmosphere which their originator had initially postulated.40

Using his bifilar micrometer, McEwen made hundreds of measures of the fraction of the illuminated disk of Venus at the E. elongations of 1919 and 1924, and the E. and W. elongations of 1927. In 1938 he discussed these data.41 This work showed conclusively – in a way that visual estimates could not – that the phase anomaly (or ‘Schröter Effect’) was not limited to the dichotomy period, but was a general feature except at the smallest phases. He freely based his explanation of the anomaly upon the work of Arthur Clayden in 1909,42 who had considered that the clouds must slope upwards towards the planet’s terminator. McEwen speculated upon the direction of the planet’s rotation from the evidence that the magnitude of the phase anomaly was not the same at eastern and western elongations.

A few years prior to this phase anomaly work, McEwen noted in the 1932 Council Report that new measures of the ‘luminous gaseous shell’ around Venus near inferior conjunction – presumably from the length of cusp extension — led to an atmospheric depth of about 100 miles. This is a very good estimate, and much closer to the truth than his 1900 estimate of 400 miles cited in Part 1.

Martian studies, 1894–1926

Apart from Mercury and Venus, McEwen’s other great astronomical interest was the planet Mars. ‘When Flammarion’s *La Planète Mars*43 was published [in 1892], I bought a copy and studied it – a book well worth the moderate price, 9s.’44 His early work suggests satisfaction with Schiaparelli’s charts and views of several of the ‘canals’, though we must remember that a 5-inch OG is a modest instrument for Mars. In 1894 A. Stanley Williams published in *The Observatory* magazine45 a summary of his personal observations of widespread obscurations of the surface of the planet, due to a large regional dust storm covering Mars Cimmerium and environs.46 He also included valuable and unbiased notes contributed by McEwen.

In later years McEwen collaborated successively with Mars Section Directors Antoniadi, Harold Thomson and W. H. Steavenson during the apparitions from 1911 to 1926 inclusive.47 McEwen often made the first and last observations of the apparition, as well as the greatest number of drawings. Quite a few of his drawings appeared in the *Journal* and *Memoirs*. These Directors especially valued McEwen’s careful notes and colour estimates. In 1917–18 both McEwen and Thomson found the Syrtis Major bluish (‘delfi-blue’ to McEwen) but Casius brownish (‘vandyke-brown’) in comparison: this accurately noted north-south colour difference – partly attributable to the scattering effects of equatorial cloud, and partly due to mineralogical differences between the northern and southern maria – has often been recorded in aphelic apparitions both past and present. It
shows that McEwen really could make reliable records of relative planetary colours.

Thomson described the drawing techniques of Section members, noting at the same opposition that McEwen used coloured crayons. Furthermore, McEwen was one of the few observers to attempt the difficult technique of taking transit timings of the martian features. As we have noted already, McEwen contributed Mars observations to Pickering’s so-called ‘International Mars Group’. An example of McEwen’s Mars work appears in Figure 8.

**McEwen the man**

As we have noted, McEwen chose not to retire from employment until 1933, when aged 69. There are probably two reasons why he stayed at work beyond the minimum retirement age. First, and foremost, the State retirement pension provided only 10s a week (£0.50), representing a huge drop in living standards, but it was all that was available. In these years of the Great Depression, in the late ’twenties and early ’ thirties, times were very hard for most working-class people, especially in the many dockyards along the Clyde. And in later years, the pension failed to keep pace with the rising cost of living. There was a second, more personal reason for staying at work. Retirement must have seemed a particularly bleak prospect, because two years previously, on March 14, Henry’s wife Sarah had died at the early age of 67 from the effects of heart disease. That her loss was a source of great personal grief to Henry is evident from a letter of 1932:

> My dear Sir, I have no doubt you will be interested to hear that Mrs. McEwen passed away on March 14. She was 67 years of age. The news was a most distressing one to us all. Love to you and all.

This letter of sympathy was written by Sir Robert McEwen, father of the deceased. The financial gain to the State retirement pension was a woman of 67, who had been a homemaker all her life.

For the many years of the Great Depression, the family was left on the brink of poverty. The pension failed to keep pace with the rising cost of living, and the family struggled to make ends meet. In 1932, the family was forced to sell their home and move to a smaller property to reduce their expenses. Despite these difficulties, McEwen continued to work, and his dedication to his work is evident from his continued contributions to the Journal of the British Astronomical Association.

In 1938, with the outbreak of World War II, McEwen’s work was put on hold as he was called up for military service. Despite his age (he was now 75), he was sent to the front lines as a part-time worker. McEwen was known for his tenacity and persistence, and he continued to work even in the most difficult conditions.

**World War II**

At the outbreak of WW2 in 1939, McEwen had just celebrated his 75th birthday. By 1938 he was working upon a Mercury and Venus Section Memoir, intended to summarise observations up to the end of 1937, but work upon this project was shelved during WW2 and was not restarted later. Fortunately he still possessed the observing zeal to make use of some of the favourable wartime elongations of the inner planets.

McEwen published just one paper during the period of hostilities, an observation to measure by trigonometry the magnitude of the 1942 September partial solar eclipse. Unusually, there was an observing companion, John J. Ross, a Glaswegian BAA member of long standing, and McEwen’s successor as Branch President. The two old friends had measured other eclipses together, as well as timing occultations of stars by the Moon.

Cambuslang came through the war safely, but the Clyde area in general – with all its heavy engineering, industry and shipping – was a major target for the Luftwaffe. Thus in 1941 March, heavy air raids all but destroyed the town of Clydebank a few miles away, and in May the port of Greenock was severely damaged in a raid by 250 German aircraft. In addition to the constant nocturnal dangers, the population suffered from the nuisances of alerts, shelters, gas masks, restricted travel, and the rationing of food and clothing.
Mapping Venus in the 1940s

The 1940 eastern elongation of Venus had proven to be an unusually favourable one, and in 1947 McEwen published in a paper *Mercury and Venus I* (intended as the start of another of those series of papers of which he was so fond) a summary of the Section’s results. The 1940 series included one very peculiar observation, on April 17, of a group of small bright spots on the planet’s disk (Figure 9). Richard Baum has discussed this sighting in more depth elsewhere. McEwen may not have personally believed that the bright points seen on that occasion were due to lofty mountains, though this was the only interpretation he quoted, due to the 19th century astronomer Trouvelot.

On 1946 June 22 McEwen experienced a rare view – under absolutely perfect seeing conditions – of complex Venusian details, and was able to record their appearance as the seeing quality eventually became degraded (Figure 10). Thus there are three grey circular patches and a complex ochre-coloured band south of the edge of the bright north cuspidal area. The latter band was interrupted by a bright area. That evening’s observation led to an exceptionally long two-page entry in his MS. notebook. Perhaps he considered this to have been a ‘revelation peep’ – a possible view of the planet’s real surface markings dimly seen through its thick atmosphere? Remarks in the 1946 Report of Council make it plain that this was truly McEwen’s view. And on June 24 his MS. notebook records two circular patches again. Thus by 1946 we find him accumulating evidence to support the slow – possibly captured – rotation of Venus. This idea had begun to find favour with McEwen in the late 1930s in connection with his work on the phase anomaly, and in 1940 he wrote that certain markings on Venus ‘recur at intervals depending in some way on the relative heliocentric longitudes of Venus and the Earth.’

About this time McEwen first had the idea of drawing a chart of the possible true surface features of Venus. This was not an original idea, for it had already been done in the 18th and 19th centuries, and more recently in France in the 1930s. In the early 1950s, professionals such as A. Danjon and A. Dollfus (in his early work) were also reaching the conclusion – through the repetition of similar albedo patterns from day to day – that the planet’s surface markings were dimly visible, though much distorted by a deep atmosphere. Indeed, Dollfus compiled a chart based upon the photographic superimposition of groups of drawings made over periods of several days. Another chart is easily available in the Encyclopaedia of Astronomy. But Venus had again misled her observers through the general similarity of its atmospheric markings: witness the repeated, now classic, ‘Y’ and ‘C’-shaped halftones that are so characteristic in good ultraviolet photographs. In deciding to map Venus, McEwen had certainly been influenced by Antoniadi, but not by Dollfus, for it seems unlikely he ever saw the latter’s papers in French journals in the 1950s, and furthermore the two never corresponded. In supporting the slow rotation McEwen was perhaps unconsciously returning to the widely held late 19th century view.

We can trace the development of McEwen’s Venus charts in the pages of BAA publications. Although after 1947 no more of his Section Reports would grace the pages of the *Journal*, McEwen continued to describe in his annual Council Reports the observations received. In 1948 he was confident enough to write: ‘Usually the terminator is shaded between bright north and south cuspidal areas of which the larger north is often bordered by a defined shade band. A darker part of it appears separated from a lighter continuation by a light spot, of a stability suitable for adaptation as a Cytherean zero meridian.’ Clearly the impressions from 1946 June had recurred. McEwen reiterated these points in an updated Section Programme: ‘...certain shadings, disguised somewhat by changing forms, have recently asserted themselves by recurring visibility, sufficient to suggest a provisional zero meridian.’ A year later he added: ‘The observations and drawings ... bring nearer a possible map of the planet.’ McEwen was at this time working upon his papers *Mercury and Venus – II and III*, the former of which would contain the chart of surface features upon Venus, and the latter of which was to be titled ‘Drift of Cytherean markings’. Preliminary Venus charts in two hemispheres (on Mollweide’s projection) were actually sent for display at the BAA Exhibition Meeting of 1950. Did he distribute any copies? None could be found by the writer.
Mercury’s atmosphere and surface, 1944–1953

In his 1947 Section Report McEwen cautiously speculated that he and M. B. B. Heath in 1944 had obtained rare evidence of temporary veiling of the Mercurian markings, thus supporting Antoniadi’s ideas.

One very remarkable reference to Mercury occurs in the 1948 Report of Council.71 ‘The Director, when opportunity offers, observes the waxing and waning of lunar rays and compares them with the changing light areas on Mercury; but he has as yet made no drawings of these interesting and puzzling phenomena’. Thus by implication he was considering that the bright patches on Mercury could correspond to centres of rayed craters or perhaps groups of craters. Indeed, this is actually the case!77 Not until the decade of Apollo were the scattering properties of glassy ejecta comprising the lunar rays properly explained. McEwen was still observing at night: he sent a drawing of the total lunar eclipse of 1950 April 2 to that year’s Exhibition Meeting.

McEwen was also working on his final Mercury project: the charting of the planet’s so-called ‘libration zones’. In 1953 he noted: ‘Partial progress has been achieved in continuing the mapping of the surface features librating in and out during the planet’s orbital circuit’.78 These charts were actually completed, and shown at the 1953 BAA Exhibition, McEwen having intended to publish them in his projected paper Mercury and Venus – II,79 but by 1953 the sands of time were running out. Of all the pre-Space Age cartographers, only McEwen and, later, the American amateur Gary Wegner would dare to chart the fabled libration regions of the planet.80

The final decade

In 1945 McEwen reached his Diamond Jubilee as Director. At about this time, BAA historians and Directors were busy compiling the First Fifty Years of the Association’s history.81 Oddly, the story of the Mercury & Venus Section was not written by McEwen, but instead – and superficially – by the Director of the Historical Section, H. L. Kelly. Probably McEwen was too busy with his other projects.

In the late 1940s and early 1950s McEwen’s most regular Section members included F. M. Bateson, R. M. Baum, R. L. T. Clarkson, the ever-faithful M. B. B. Heath, A. P. Lenham and Patrick Moore. Seemingly only McEwen’s correspondence with Baum has survived, though McEwen maintained a steady correspondence with all the other members. He was perhaps, on account of his age, less interested in a large influx of new, possibly inexperienced members: and the Mercury and Venus Section membership did not share the dramatic post-WW2 increase seen in many other Sections.

McEwen’s eyesight was still very acute even as late as 1951, when he made the drawings shown in Figure 11 for V. A. Firsoff to illustrate the latter’s book, Our Neighbour Worlds.83 McEwen was then 87 years old. He also sent drawings of Mercury to Patrick Moore for the first edition of the latter’s Guide to the Planets (written in 1954).84 McEwen was always ready to comment upon papers submitted to the Journal, and it is therefore fortuitous that Patrick Moore described some of his own recent Venus work in the Journal of 1953. In response, McEwen penned what would turn out to be his final printed opinions on the matter: ‘Moore’s remarks anent the rotation of Venus call for a very brief summary of my own conclusions. In 1936 the examination of many original reports, in my possession, of leading continental astronomers revealed surface drift of light and dark areas in a common direction, suggesting movements in 180 Julian days with reference to the first point of Aries, i.e., sidereal period, or relative to the Sun.’ Unfortunately the rest of the response contains a grammatical error or some missing words, which confuses things: ‘A rotation of 900 Julian days for Venus on its orbit – a pentagon, or maybe a dodecahedron, to represent the globe of Venus like the tetrahedron for the Earth. Later, however, an icosahedron was preferred, since its apices could represent the two cusps, their basic edges being the collars bordering them; also both the tetrahedron and icosahedron are similar to the extent of having 4 and 20 equilateral triangular faces respectively.85 Whatever one makes of the final two sentences, it is clear from McEwen’s other remarks that he ultimately regarded the Venusian rotation as slow.

McEwen was prevented by terrestrial cloud from seeing the transit of Mercury in 1953 November. In 1954 he was still actively observing, as recorded in what would be his final Report to Council.

Final tragedy: 1955

At the BAA Ordinary Meeting of 1955 March 30 it was announced that McEwen had been forced by ill-health to retire. The President, Dr R. L. Waterfield, paid a tribute to him,
and the BAA Council Minutes of that date reveal an intention to make special recognition of his services with an illuminated address. At the next Council, McEwen was proposed for the Walter Goodacre Medal and Gift by Dr A. F. O’D. Alexander and R. G. Andrews; but it was all too late.

McEwen had been suffering from a recurrent chest complaint, which developed into bronchial pneumonia. He was admitted to the Cleland County Hospital in Motherwell. On May 6 he died, then being in his ninety-first year. He had still been actively working on the problem of the rotation period of Venus a few weeks before his death. The graveyard of the local church having not been used for burials since the Great War, McEwen was interred in the town’s Westburn Cemetery on May 9. Apart from the obituary notice from Ovenden in our Journal, his passing was not noticed in the local papers. With the audience standing, McEwen’s death was announced by the BAA President at the 1955 May 25 Ordinary Meeting.

Council was slow to appoint a successor, perhaps because Venus was approaching its superior conjunction on September 1, or more likely out of respect for the deceased. But this was to be a fatal error. At some point in the following weeks or months James McEwen acted to clear the house of his father’s papers. By the time Patrick Moore had been appointed as successor in 1956 January – seven months later – there was little left to recover. But the Venus diaries mentioned earlier had been preserved, together with some early miscellanea by McEwen and others.

In the Small Advertisements section of the Journal, James McEwen advertised for sale his father’s fine Wray refractor. It is very likely that Arthur Frank of Charles Frank Ltd., a Glasgow firm of telescope makers, purchased it for his historical instruments collection. And the young Tom Boles, later BAA President, was loaned a 5-inch Wray for a time by Frank when working for the firm in the early 1960s. Later, part of the collection was auctioned, and the instruments by Scottish makers were conserved by the Museum of Scotland. Unfortunately the Wray could not be traced.

In 1955 James McEwen, then aged 58 and unmarried, was living in his father’s home, and apparently was desperately short of cash. By then the place was very run down. Later that year James sold the house to Mr Matthew Nicol, the present owner, and moved into lodgings in Glasgow. Mr Nicol wrote that James was apparently ‘a bit of a ne’er do well’, and this equates perfectly with the destruction of his father’s records. Like his father and grandfather, James McEwen was to live to a ripe old age; isolated from any branch of his family, he died in obscurity in 1984 aged 86. The supreme irony of our story is that the son lived long enough to see pictures of the surfaces of the terrestrial planets taken by Mariner, Venera and Viking, and – always supposing he had been interested enough – to learn the secrets of the those planets that his father had spent his whole life trying to discover.

As a final blow, James could not afford to have his father’s name inscribed upon the family grave at Westburn cemetery. But here at least there is a happy ending. Following the acceptance of this paper, the BAA Council agreed to the writer’s proposal to have the tombstone engraved at the Association’s expense. With the consent of Mr Robert McEwen of Edinburgh, the only known living relative, the work was carried out by a local stonemason in the summer of 2004 (Figure 12).

Epilogue

Henry McEwen was typical of those amateur astronomers who possessed an engineering background: the real ‘practical mechanic’ of the late Victorian era. He never owned a large telescope, and had relatively small means. Through his persistence, his example to others and by continual self-criticism he achieved a high reputation for his astronomical work. His many observations of the planets are of much historical value. McEwen’s Venus diaries provide a valuable legacy of six decades of nearly continuous work, and contain records of rare Cytherean phenomena such as the Ashen Light, as well as thousands of careful micrometrical measurements. McEwen wrote on a very wide variety of topics: in addition to his planetary work he was interested in optics, solar energy and aurorae.

What, then, did McEwen achieve? He did not solve the problem of Venus’ rotation period. But then neither did any other amateur, or professional, within McEwen’s lifetime. Indeed, at the end of his life he correctly concluded that it probably could not be solved by visual work alone, though he was right about the very long rotation period of the surface, and also in his realisation that the atmospheric movements must have a considerably shorter period. Just two years after McEwen’s death, the French observer Charles Boyer began a systematic programme of violet light photography from French Equatorial Africa, where many observations could be made throughout the day, and from day to day under really transparent skies. Thus he was rapidly able to discover what Frank Ross (from his less complete UV
photography in 1927) could not, and so to announce the 4-day period of the atmosphere.

In the 1960s radar observations were made of the interior planets for the first time. After several attempts by different groups, the slow, retrograde rotation of the Venusian surface (rotating on its axis in 243 days) and the totally unexpected 58.6-day period for Mercury were discovered. McEwen had long realised that the Venusian atmosphere was very thick. His revised estimate of 100 miles was very reasonable. We now know that the UV clouds lie at an altitude of 65km, and that the visible clouds are higher. McEwen’s careful micrometrical work showed that the Schröter Effect is not limited to dichotomy but can be detected at most phases during an elongation of Venus. Following McEwen’s work, papers about the origin of the phase anomaly were to become a strong feature of the BAA Journal throughout the next four decades.

McEwen was able to map Mercury, using BAA data, much of which was based upon his personal work. His map was included in the NASA Mercury atlas. That he should agree with Schiaparelli is hardly surprising, but he was confident enough to draw the albedo markings as broad patches, independently agreeing with his contemporary Antoniadi, and the work of the Jarrey—Desloges observers. He obtained tentative (but ambiguous) evidence for a mercurian atmosphere, and his surmise that the bright patches on the surface might be akin to lunar ray centres has turned out to be correct. As we have seen, his Mars drawings and meteorological notes have proved to be historically useful. McEwen also contributed to the early literature of comparative planetology.

Would it not be fitting for this Scottish astronomer and engineer to be recognised by having a feature named after him on the planet Mercury?
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