10107/6



The British Astronomical Association

VARIABLE STAR SECTION

CIRCULAR 58

"LIGHT CURVE"

DECEMBER 1984

Head Office: Burlington House, Piccadilly, London W1V ONL. Telephone 01-734 4145

VARIABLE STAR SECTION

CIRCULAR 58

CONTENTS

Changes of Officers and Addresses	1
Circulars	1
Computing and VSS Records	1
Main Programme Observational Totals 1983:	
Stars	2
Observers	3
Binocular Chart Booklet	3
Determining Eclipsing Binary Minima from Visual Observations:	
A comparison of Algorithms - J.E. Isles	3
Notes from other Publications:	
Nova Aquilae 1982	8
AT Cancri	8
VW Cephei	8
CH Cygni	8
Up Del (Neve Del 1067)	8
AC Pocasi	9
Cataclysmic variables	9
Review:	
Advances in Photoelectric Photometry: Vol.1	10
Minima of Eclipsing Binaries: 1976-78 - John Isles	11
Section Officers / Circular & Chart Charges – inside front o	over
Changes of Address and New Members - inside back of	over

****0****

Printed in England by Castle Printers of Wittering, Shore Road, East Wittering, West Sussex. Members are asked to note that Melvyn Taylor has now become Secretary for both Binocular and Telescopic Programmes. ALL observations should be sent to him at the usual six-monthly reporting intervals. This change comes into effect immediately.

Members are also reminded that both Guy Hurst and John Parkinson have moved - their new addresses are given inside the front cover.

Circulars

Members are reminded that with the problems of availability of material and Officers' work load, issues of these Circulars take place at irregular intervals, 3 or 4 times a year. At present, subscriptions cover 4 issues, rather than a calendar year.

Names and addresses have been transferred to a different computer file for the printing of labels. Some members may find that their code numbers have been altered. This is for internal organisational reasons, and has no other significance. (Another change may be necessary at a later date.) As previously, the number of future issues that a member will receive is given by the figure after the oblique stroke. If your subscription expires with this issue, please renew immediately, as the next Circular (59) will be published in about a month.

It has been decided that certain detailed observational results (unsuitable for publication in full in the *Journal*), will be printed in these Circulars. We begin this policy with the Eclipsing Binary Report given in this issue.

We remind members that contributions for these Circulars are always welcome, and thank those who have submitted material. The reproduction of light-curves poses considerable problems, which we hope to overcome. (We regret that one contribution from Ian Middlemist on some red variables has been delayed, pending the light-curves being redrawn.) Please discuss any diagrams or light-curves with Storm Dunlop before submission.

Computing and VSS Records

Considerable progress has been made with computerisation of VSS records and now all Main Programme observations are being entered in machine-readable form as they are received. This process is now being extended to Binocular Programme observations. We have adequate actual computional power, but would be grateful if any members with access to BBC microcomputers could help us in the task of entering estimates from report forms. Collation and analysis would be carried out centrally, of course. If anyone is able to help, please contact the Director. Even a small amount of assistance will be helpful. (We regret that as yet we cannot include other machines.)

Star totals for 1983

		No.	Ob'vers		No.	Ob'vers
R	And	238	20	AB Dra	284	11
W	And	211	17	U Gem	303	20
RW	And	167	12	IR Gem	272	10
RX	And	330	14	RU Her	110	11
DZ	And	181	11	SS Her	139	13
R	Aql	262	20	AC Her	383	18
UU	Aql	37	7	AH Her	201	10
UW	Aql	144	9	R Hya	76	9
V603	Aql	22	2	SU Lac	135	9
N'82	Aql	27	1	DK Lac	-	-
VY	Aqr	51	6	X Leo	201	14
SS	Aur	441	18	AY Lyr	245	12
U	Boo	88	9	U Mon	339	20
v	Boo	202	12	RS Oph	163	13
v	Cam	139	8	U Ori	215	17
Х	Cam	226	13	CN Ori	182	13
Z	Cam	403	14	CZ Ori	237	12
XX	Cam	308	17	RU Peg	257	18
S	Cas	155	14	S Per	233	17
Т	Cas	221	19	RS Per	321	20
UV	Cas	396	13	TZ Per	402	14
Ŷ	Cas	361	13	UV Per	277	10
ρ	Cas	272	11	BU Per	318	20
DM	Сер	61	2	GK Per	177	9
0	Cet	89	12	WZ Sge	148	9
R	CrB	659	29	HS Sge	54	2
S	CrB	266	17	R Sct	467	22
Т	CrB	308	19	Branchett's Obj.	27	3
v	CrB	139	13	R Ser	135	16
W	CrB	137	12	RV Tau	193	18
R	Cyg	196	18	SU Tau	208	13
S	Cyg	171	12	T UMa	293	15
v	Cyg	237	14	SU UMa	309	13
W	Cyg	394	21	SW UMa	271	11
S S	Cyg	627	20	CH UMa	316	11
BC	Суд	163	13	V Vul	301	14
BI	Cyg	176	14	PU Vul	269	12
CI	Cyg	271	16	Honda's Obj. Cyg	-	-
X	Cyg	230	19	3C 273	81	6
HR	Del	216	9	NGC 4151	159	5
Т	Dra	190	12	Markarian 421	43	2

Total 18 156

		Obs.		Obs.
D.	Stott	2766	S. Hoste	183
M.J.	Gainsford	1655	W. Worraker	183
R.	Paterson	1309	R. Chambers	150
Ρ.	Wheeler	1039	T. Saville	129
J.	Shanklin	886	H. Colquhoun	120
G.	Poyner	860	J. Howarth	105
L.	Brundle	818	P. Moore	98
Α.	Bueno	805	E. Collinson	96
J.	Toone	706	* Photogrphic	95
с.	Munford	669	R. Stuart	90
J.	Ells	440	D. Cozen	78
s.	Albrighton	411	R. Fraser	78
Ι.	Middlemist	404	A. Markham	7 8
N.F.H.	Knight	390	M. Hather	77
N.	Kiernan	3.83	L. Baker	6 8
М.	Nicholls	351	N. Bone	56
Ρ.	Withers	348	R. Middleton	46
R.	Dryden	335	R. God de n	37
R.	Pickard	328	H. Smith	33
М.	Taylor	313	A. Kocsis	29
Α.	Tanti	303	G. Hirst	26
s.	Lubbock	223	A. Kimber	26
G.	Hurst	185	* 13 Observers	194

Total 18 002

Binocular Chart Booklet

Preparation of the booklet containing all VSS binocular charts is at a an advanced stage. We expect it to be printed shortly and hope to be able to announce availability at some time in the New Year. Details will be given in the next Circular.

Determining Eclipsing Binary Minima from Visual Observations: A comparison of Algorithms - J.E. Isles

Introduction

A graphical method of determing the time of minimum from a series of visual estimates, the tracing-paper method (TPM), was used in past reports on the Eclipsing Binary Programme, the last of which dealt with observations made in 1975. The TPM was described in the *Journal* 92, 76 (1982 Feb.).

In VSSC 53 it was proposed to investigate the feasibility of determining times of minima by computer, rather than by this graphical method, before attempting to bring the reports up to

date. This note reports the interesting results of that investigation.

In principle, the advantages of computerisation include:

- 1 greater objectivity, since different people using the same algorithm ought to get the same results from a given set of data;
- 2 improved precision, since graphical methods may not make full use of the data and also any manual process is prone to error; and
- 3 reduced effort.

Against these points it may be objected that:

- 1 in a manual procedure the analyst can more readily bring to bear his knowledge of the characteristics of the star, the observer, and the relative accuracy of the observations;
- 2 when the calculations are performed invisibly inside a computer, it is mch harder to see when the algorothm is performing incorrectly or there is a transcription error in the data; and
- 3 there is a temptation to spend long hours perfecting a computer program instead of producing results for publication. thus the choice between manual and computer methods is not automatic.

In comparison with photoelectric measures, visual observations are characterised by lowere precision (internal standard errors of the order of 0.1 m) and fewer numbers. It is not practicable to multiply the observations indefinitely without the observer becoming biassed. Estimates are therefore usually made at intervals of 15 to 30 minutes. Thus, the reduction procedure needs to be robust and what may be a good method for handling photoelectric data may not perform so well on visual work.

Algorithms considered

Silvano Ghedini (Software for Photoelectric Astronomy, Richmond, Virginia, 1982) has published five BASIC computer programs for determining times on minima. Two of these (the 'Best Fit' method, and the 'Middle Line' method) allow the light curve to be asymmetric. These were not considered, because of the danger that errors in the observations will suggest a spurious asymmetry which will be duly modelled by the computer. Even though slight asymmetry may be truly present in the star's light-curve, a method which assumes symmetry is likely to be more robust for reducing visual estimates.

The three other algorithms are as follows.

1 The Kwee and van Woerden (KvW) method. From the original data, a series of magnitudes uniformly spaced in time is interpolated. A preliminary estimate T of the time of minimum defines a reflection axis. the mean square difference between the observation and the corresponding reflected magnitude (again, linearly interpolated), provides a measure of the lack of fit. Starting from and initial value of T half way from the first to the last observation, the program iterates towards a value of T which minimises S(T).

- 2 The Polygonal Line method (Poly). Again given a preliminary time T (in this case, that of the faintest estimate - the first if there are ties), the program reflects the ascending branch of the curve onto the descending branch, calculates the length L(T) of a line joining each observation to the next in time, and iterates to a minimum of L(T). It seems somewhat irrational that the results can depend on what units are used for recording times, but apparently this makes little difference in practice.
- 3 The Sliding Integrations method (Slide). This will be easier to explain after the next method has been described.

After my *Journal* paper had appeared, Mr D.G. Hinds wrote to me suggesting a computer implementation of the tracing-paper method. Suppose we have a series of estimates from T1 to T2, bracketing the time of minimum T. If T>(T1 + T2)/2, then the area under the curve from 2T - T2 to T should equal the area from T to T2. If T<(T1 + T2)/2, then the areas to be compared are from T1 to T, and from T to 2T - T1. The star's magnitude is assumed to change linearly between observed points, in order to calculate these areas. In Mr Hind's implementation on a Spectrum computer, the operator would try successive values for T until a value were found for which the areas were essentially equal.

This method seemed to be unsophisticated in comparison with Ghedini's programs, but it was decided to give it fair trial along with the others. In my implementation on a Commodore 64, a binary chop method is used to find the best value for T; convergence is very fast. In what follows, this is referred to as the Hinds method.

Ghedini's Sliding Integrations method uses the same principle, but starts by producing a linearly interpolated series of magnitudes at uniform time intervals, and finds the minimum by fitting a regression line of differences between the two areas against a series of trial values for T.

These four algorithms were evaluated by reducing afresh 51 sets of observations of eclipsing binary minima in 1975. These had already been reduced by Peter Hornby using the tracing-paper method. Stars observed once only in the year were omitted, as only where two or more minima were observed can we use the scatter in the O-C values (the differences between observed times of minima, and the calculated times of minima according to catalogue elements) to estimate the standard errors of the results. (Within a single year, any variation in the O-C values due to changes in a system's period or light-curve is likely to be negligible in comparison with observation errors.) The magnitudes or grades (the latter divided by 10 to convert approximately to relative magnitudes) were input to the programs exactly as they had been reduced by Mr Hornby using the TPM.

Results

The Kwee and van Worden method failed to give a time of minimum in eight cases, where the number of observations was small (six or fewer), or the observations were very asymmetrically distributed about the apparent time of minimum. Many of the deduced times agreed closely with TPM, but quite a few were wild, differing by more than half an hour and looking implausible from inspection of the original data.

Results for the Polygonal Line method were very similar. It failed to give a time only for one minimum (for which KvW had succeeded). There were slightly fewer implausible results, but also fewer cases of very close agreement with TPM.

On further investigation it was found that both programs were frequently converging to a local minimum of the measures of disagreement S(T) and L(T). Modified versions were therefore developed which sought the absolute minimum of S(T) and L(T). The times produced by the modified KvW program (which incidentally cut out the initial linear interpolation stage) were still wildly inconsistent with the observations in many cases, particularly where they were few in number and asymmetrically distributed about mid-eclipse. The modified Poly program, however, now produced sensible results.

My implementation of Poly first scales the magnitudes so that their range is the same as the range in times. This arbitrarily tackles the problem of the results varying when the units of time are changed. The program then samples the function L(T) at successively finer intervals of T, and finally fits a parabola to the three points nearest its minimum to estimate the absolute bottom. It yielded a time in every case, agreeing with TPM within 0.002d in 30 cases out of 51. There were some larger discrepancies, up to 0.021d. These were examined and it was found that the Poly time seemed at least as plausible as the TPM time.

The Sliding Integrations method also produced a time for every set of estimates, but the majority were wild and were frequently well outside the observed time intervals - by about half a day in a few cases.

The Hinds method yielded a time in every case, agreeing with TPM within 0.002d in 33 cases. Again there were some larger discrepancies, up to 0.013 day. Again it was found that the Hinds time seemed at least as plausible as the TPM time.

Poly and Hinds agreed with one another within 0.002 day in 34 cases. In one case they differed by 0.015d, and in three more cases by 0.008 or 0.009d. Examination of the observations suggested that Poly times were perhaps more plausible, but there was not much to choose between them.

Close agreement between two methods, however, does not in itself prove their superiority. We should also look at how consistent the results are for a particular eclipsing binary at different minima. The estimated standard deviations of the timings given by the three methods, from the scatter among the O-C values for each star, are shown below. Standard errors have been calculated separately for the EW stars VW Cep and W UMa (21 timings), which are much more difficult than the other nine systems (30 timings) owing to their small amplitudes.

Estimated Standard Deviations:							
Method	VW Cep, W UMa	Other systems					
	d	d					
TPM	0.015	0.008					
Poly	0.018	0.008					
Hinds	0.019	0.008					

There is nothing to choose between these three methods, when we consider systems other than VW Cep and W UMa. It is possible that in interpreting the difficult observations of VW Cep and W UMa, Mr Hornby unconsciously brought them into closer agreement than they strictly warranted, and this may be why TPM shows a slightly smaller SD for them. It would require a very much larger sample of minima to determine the slight edge Poly shows over Hinds is real.

Ghedini's programs, incidentally, provide standard error estimates. For KvW and Slide, these are based on the scatter within the data, but for Poly the operator has to supply the standard error of magnitudes and times (which in this case were taken as 0.1m and 0.001d). The RMS values obtained were 0.011d for KvW, 0.017d for Poly, and 0.013d for Slide (which needed at least seven observations to produce a non-zero error estimate). While these average values appear to be of the right order of magnitude, the error estimates for VW Cep and W UMa did not differ significantly from those for other systems. It is concluded that these error estimates are not a reliable guide to the relative accuracy of individual estimates.

One can envisage circumstances where the Hinds method may fall down, e.g. where there is a long gap in the observations around minimum so that linear interpolation of magnitudes is inaccurate. Poly should still cope under these circumstances. It is therefore proposed that Poly should be used to reduce visual observations in future reports on the Eclipsing Binary Programme, but Hinds will be used as a check. It should now be possible to bring these reports up to date quickly. A copy of the reduction program will be communicated to the BAA Microcomputer Users' Group.

Photoelectric observations have recently constituted an increasing proportion of the results reported. For these, the KvW method is well established and the problem of wild values is likely to be much reduced given the higher precision of the data and the greater numbers of observations possible. However, and investigation of alternative methods of reduction will be worthwhile when sufficient photoelectric data have accumulated.

Notes from other publications

<u>Information Bulletin on Variable Stars (IBVS) and</u> <u>Monthly Notices of the RAS (MN)</u>

(Note that from 1984 January MN are issued twice monthly! The references below are to date and page.)

Nova Aquilae 1982

Two recent papers report infrared photometry between 1982 Mar 5 and Oct 16, when visual observations (assembled from various sources including *The Astronomer*) showed the object declining from 12^m to 13^m , rising to a secondary max of 12^m , and finally fading to 16^m . Both papers note that heated dust was present from the first observation. Most likely a dust shell was already present before the outburst. (Williams and Longmore MN '84 Mar 1, 139 and Bode *et al.* MN '84 Apr 15, 897.)

AT Cancri

In IBVS 2362 (1983 June 30) and 2526 (1984 May 28) W. Götz reports observations of this object, which appears to be a cataclysmic type of variable. As yet only B magnitudes are available, the range being apparently between 12.5 and 16. It has shown typical cataclysmic outbursts, with rises of approximately 3.5 magnitudes in two days. IBVS 2362 gives a close-field chart with comparisons.

VW Cephei

IBVS 2516 (1984 May 4) – C. Cristescu and G. Oprescu report their photoelectric observations. Contrary to the results of Rovithis and Povithis-Livaniou (VSSC 57), they find better agreement with Kukarkin's ephemeris than with Kwee's! O-C values range between +0.0011 and +0.0076 (days) for the former and -0.1238 to -0.1301 for the latter.

CH Cygni

Speisman (MN '84, Jan 1, 77) reports UBV and H_{α} photometry from summer 1982. This shows flickering at all wavelengths with amplitude ≈ 0.2 m in V and on time-scales of 2 - 100 minutes. Although there are series of 'regularly' spaced bursts, no periodic component is obvious. This type of flickering is characteristic of cataclysmic variables. On Aug 3 one rise of $0^{...}$ 18 in V took place over only 7 minutes. The B-V colour index had become steadily bluer since the 1977 outburst, and according to the author the V-band radiation in 198 no longer originated from the M-type absorption spectrum, but primarily from the blue continuum, the presumed source of the flickering.

CI Cygni

T.A. Belyakina *et al.* (IBVS 2485, 1984 March) report observations of the 1982 eclipse of this star observed in UBVRI. Before eclipse the magnitude rose, reaching about 10.6 (V) on 244 5155.

The eclipse began about 244 5190 declining 1.2 in U, 0.9 in B, 0.4 in V and 0.1 in R by 244 5225, whereas the magnitude in I remaed constant. After phase 0.0 (about 244 52250) there was a further decline of 0.2 in B, 0.4 in V, 0.3 in R and 0.2 in I, U remaining constant. The rise then set in and eclipse ended about 244 5300. Duration of eclipse was comparable to that observed in 1980. The second decline is thought to have been caused by variation in the cool component, which is probably an irregular red giant.

HR Del (Nova Del 1967)

H.-M. Steinach and L. Kohoutek(IBVS 2367 1983 Jly 11), report 1981 and 1982 observations, finding periodic variations as had been previously noted in 1977-1980. Observations for 1982 July 27/28 and 28/29 were combined and searched for periods. Three possible periods were found, with the best fit being 0.21231 day - apparently close to periods ranging from 0.2159 - 0.2201 day found in earlier data. The brightness of the nova appears to be still declining very slightly, the differential magnitude between one comparison and the nova increasing by about 0.06 mag between 1981 and 1982.

AG Pegasi

R. Luthardt (IBVS 2495 (1984 March 22) has examined visual observations of this symbiotic star by the AFOEV in an attempt to determine which of the two published periods (827 days and 733 days) is most likely to be correct. The former (derived by Meinunger from plates taken by the Sonneberg Sky Patrol) also appeared to fit the radial velocity data - i.e the orbital period. The shorter period was established from AAVSO visual data by Slovak. Two additional minima were found and the analysis showed that the best fit was obtained by Meinunger's elements: Min = 242 8250 + 827 × E,

until epoch 16. A period-change seems to have occurred about 244 3000, and epochs from 17 agree with: Min = 244 2370 + 760 × E.

Cataclysmic variables

X-ray observations in 1979-80 from the Einstein satellite are reported by Cordova and Mason (MN '84 Feb 15, 879) for 27 novae, recurrent novae, dwarf novae and nova-like objects. Of seven dwarf novae observed during optical outbursts, only U Gem exhibited enhanced ultrasoft X-ray emission in addition to weak, hard X-ray emission. A number of stars showed flickering on similar time-scales to optical flickering. Long observation of SU UMa and GK Per also revealed X-ray variability on time-scales of hours. Watson (IAUC 3850) reported X-ray pulses from GK Per with a period of 351 seconds, during an optical outburst in 1983 Aug; but pulses with this period were not detected in the Einstein data.

Review:

Advances in Photoelectric Photometry, Vol.1, Wolpert, R.C. and Genet, R.M. (eds). Fairborn Obs'y, 1247 Folk Road, Fairborn, Ohio 45324, USA, 1983. Pp iv + 237, pbk, \$23.95 (about £18)

Following the emergence of the IAPPP as the premier body fostering photoelectric photometry (PEP) among amateurs, and the success of the book *Photoelectric Photometry of Variable Stars*, by Hall and Genet, comes this book emphasizing advances made at small observatories and in observations using small telescopes.

•ى

r

After a good introduction, which summarizes the book's contents, comes a chapter entitled 'The contribution of Small Telescopes', which analyzes the usefulness of telescopes of less than 40 cm aperture. This shows that very useful work can be undertaken with modest equipment. We then launch into the main part of the book, which falls into three broad sections: observations, equipment and observatories.

The opening chapter of the first section attempts to answer the question 'What Can You Do?', and concentrates on the types of star that can be observed (including the discovery of new variables - principally of small amplitude). The observation of micro-variables is then dealt with, demonstrating that observations better than 0.005 mag in accuracy can be achieved. The next two chapters deal with photometry of Be stars and the star ϵ Aur respectively, before the first section ends with a most interesting chapter entitled 'Photometry Among the Climatically Underprivileged'. This is based on results from the David Dunlap Observatory, Toronto, Canada, and demonstrates to anyone doubting the use of PEP away from mountain-top sites just how wrong they can be. It is also pointed out that large telescope complexes cannot afford the time to devote to long-term projects on brighter stars, and further that many of the smaller telescopes at these sites are being closed down because of financial cutbacks. So it is left to the smaller (often amateur) observatory to continue work on many stars where climate need not be a deterrent.

The second section of the book commences with a chapter by Norman Walker of the RGO at Herstmonceux on the design, construction and use of a 4-channel photometer using fibre optics. This is quite an advanced design, particularly for amateurs, but something to be aimed for, especially judging from the fact that spectacular results can be obtained, even through a diminution of 6 magnitudes of cloud! Chapter 9 describes the use of a micro-computer with a PEP system and list some useful BASIC programs. Next, the professionally built SSP-3 photometer, which uses a photodiode-type detector is described by the manufacturer, and then follows the details of a useful circuit for calibrating a D.C. amplifier. The final two chapters of this section deal with the full automation of telescopes for photoelectric work by controlling with a computer, not just the telescope, but also all the ancillary equipment.

The last section describes six individual (amateur) observatories equipped with PEP. Of special interest are chapter 17, which examines a completely portable (or perhaps more accurately, transportable) photoelectric observatory, and chapter 18 by Richard Miles, the foremost amateur exponent of PEP in this country, describing the evolution of his system in some detail.

The book has been produced in a rather small format, but is almost entirely free of typographical errors. (Volume II, which is due to be published later this year, will be produced in a larger format.)

Generally, an excellent book, which, whilst not containing the wealth of information found in *Photoelectric Photometry of Variab Stars*, does provide plenty of encouragement, and is recommended for anyone embarking on the PEP road.

Roger Pickard, Crayford Manor House Astronomical Society

Minima of Eclipsing Binaries: 1976-78 - John Isles

The Section's visual timings of minima of eclipsing binaries in the years 1976-78 are given in the accompanying table. The successive columns give:

1 Star name

2 Epoch, the number of cycles elapsed since the initial minimum given in the 1969 GCVS. For consistency with previous reports (1972: *BAAJ* 83, 452, 1973 Oct.; 1973-4: *BAAJ* 85, 443, 1975 Aug.; 1975: *BAAJ* 87, 79, 1976 Dec.), any revised elements appearing in Supplements to the GCVS are ignored. ".5" indicates a secondary minimum.

3 Heliocentric JD of minimum, derived using the computer programs described earlier. The time is followed by the symbol : in a few cases where discordance or long gaps in the estimates rendered the interpretation uncertain.

 $4 \quad 0 - C$ value, the difference between the observed and calculated times of mid-eclipse, using the *linear* elements of the catalogue. For convenience in analysis, any extra polynomial or trigonometrical terms given in the Catalogue's remarks are ignored.

5 The number of estimates.

6 The observer, abbreviated as follows:

KG = G.J. Kirby
MY = A. Maudsley
NR = R.H. McNaught
PJ = A.R. Pratt
PS = P.J. Swift
TY = M.D. Taylor
VB = J.S. Bullivant

Finally, an asterisk draws attention to a remark below.

It will be seen from an examination of the 0 - C values that, while the observations are fairly concordant in most cases, some of the timings appear to be erroneous. As it is not always clear which the latter are, particularly where only two or three timings have been-reported for the system concerned, it has been thought best to list all the results as they stand. Comparison with timings in other years or with results published elsewhere should eventually resolve any doubts. It is empahsised that a timing has been marked as uncertain (:) only for the reasons given above, and not merely because the resultant timing looks odd, nor merely because the number of estimates on which it is based is small.

Future Circulars will report timings made in later years, and when these have been brought up to date a discussion will be prepared for the *Journal*. Observers are urged to send in as soon as possible any unreported observations.

Remarks

V822 Aql The period in the 1969 GCVS is wrong (see *BAAJ* 85, 447, 1975 Aug.), so the O - C is against the elements of the 1974 Supplement.

AC Boo Observations on nine nights between 3653 and 3670 have been folded onto a single cycle, and used to derive the times of the minima nearest the median date of the observations. No initial epoch is given in the 1969 GCVS, so the BV Dra 0 - C is against the elements of the 1974 Supplement. Estimates made 3656-3670 were combined to derive the time of minimum. The timing is derived from 3 estimates on the falling S Eau branch by HO on 3421, and 5 on the rising branch by BS on 3366. ß Lvr All the estimates in each calendar year have been folded onto a single cycle, and used to derive the times of the minima nearest to the median date of the observations. Observers in 1976 were BJ, BS, DT, MY and VB; and in 1977 BS and DT. No period is given in the 1969 GCVS, so the O - C is IO Per agains the elements of the 1974 Supplement.

W UMi The timing is derived from 7 estimates on the falling branch by TY on 3472, and 7 on the rising branch by BS on 3387.

The numbers of estimates given against certain minima include estimates made on other nights that were also used in deriving the times of minimum. These were as follows:

RZ	Cas	3824	BS	includes	3 estimates on 3875
GK	Cep	3597	BS	includes	3 estimates on 3596
GK	Сер	3597	AG	includes	5 estimates on 3511
U	CrB	2967	BS	includes	7 estimates on 2991
u	Her	3670	IS	includes	11 estimates on 3653-3664
√56 6	Oph	3737	BS	includes	4 estimates on 3746
ß	Per	3763	BS	includes	18 estimates on 3743-3769
U	Sge	29 07	BS	includes	5 estimates on 2958
RS	Vul	3769	BS	includes	10 estimates on 3747-3760

31 HR	EPOCH	HELIO JD 244	0 - C	No	OBSERVER	
en and	11241.5 11453 11825 11853	3387.411 3516.425 3743.415 3760.482	+0.022 -0.003 +0.023 +0.007	9 12 7 8	BS BS BS	
V822 AQL	2489.5 2496.5 2559	2961.507 2998.495 3329.469	+0.110 +0.033 +0.064	9 6 6 6	es Tv es	9 9 9
TT AUR.	16509	3244.395	+0.045	6	T't'	
WW AUR	3933 4185 4186.5 4199.5	2876.406 3512.754 3516.537 3549.358	-0.034 +0.009 +0.005 0.000	4 997	BS BS ES TY	
AR AUR	3957 3957.5 3972 3974 4047 4061 4076.5	3103.309 3105.371: 3165.307 3173.591 3475.412 3533.282: 3597.373	+0.023 +0.018 +0.001 +0.016 +0.007 -0.008 -0.004	5 9 11 9 7 10	TY TY BS NR TY BS	
(M AUP	3247 3261.5 3375.5 3510 3531 3761 3806 3870 3988	2819.329 2837.407 2979.555 3147.343 3173.531 3460.388 3516.539 3596.354 3743.536	+0.014 +0.007 -0.038 -0.012 -0.017 -0.039 -0.017 -0.028 -0.028	~∞©∞⊙∞⊙~∞ 1	85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85	
LY AUR	1129 1190	3 580. 347 3824.484	-0.069 -0.090	57	T Y BS	
ZZ 800	2533	3512.766	+0.015	9	BS	
AC 800	16723 16723.5	3658.354 3658.516	+0.003 -0.011	6 11	IS IS	
АМ САМ	22546 22589	3741.412 3774.571	+0.028 +0.019	4 10	BS BS	
UU ONC	83	3584.4	-2.7:	6	۲' ۲ '	
RS CVN	3693 3693	2967.473 2967.497	-0.093 -0.069	7-3	DT BS	
RZ CAS	4735 4765 4771 4786 4796 4822 4858 4858	2803.486 2839.354 2846.516 2864.445 2876.395 2907.477 2950.498 2956.478	+0.002 +0.012 +0.003 +0.003 0.000 +0.007 -0.001 +0.002	13 7 11 16 5 6 8 8	kg BS Kg BS BS BS Kg	

٠ ¢.

¢

≉: ♦:

STAR	EFOCH	HELIO JD 244	0 - C	No	OBSERVER
RZ CAS	4868 4909 4909 4934 4940 4975 4975 4975 4980 4980 4980 4980 4980 4990 4990 4990	$\begin{array}{c} 2962, 455\\ 3011, 451\\ 3011, 453\\ 3041, 351\\ 3048, 521\\ 3090, 337\\ 3090, 338\\ 3090, 341\\ 3096, 320\\ 3096, 321\\ 3096, 320\\ 3096, 321\\ 3108, 279\\ 3109, 461\\ 3115, 441\\ 3121, 425\\ 3151, 296\\ 3151, 296\\ 3151, 300\\ 3151, 304\\ 3188, 358\\ 3194, 334\\ 3231, 368\\ 3384, 373\\ 3433, 384\\ 3518, 231\\ 3550, 515\\ 3605, 495\\ 3752, 515\\ 3788, 370\\ 3824, 212\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} +0.003\\ -0.007\\ -0.004\\ +0.013\\ +0.011\\ -0.007\\ -0.006\\ -0.002\\ -0.003\\ +0.001\\ +0.001\\ +0.006\\ -0.002\\ +0.005\\ -0.002\\ +0.005\\ -0.001\\ +0.003\\ +0.004\\ +0.004\\ +0.001\\ +0.005\\ -0.001\\ +0.005\\ -0.001\\ +0.005\\ -0.001\\ +0.002\\ 0.000\\ +0.002\\ -0.014\\ +0.002\\ -0.014\\ \end{array}$	727597271987576579695657820768	BSG Y BS Y GG UG Y GG
TV CAS	12606 12627 12633 12735 12756 12863 12905 13043	2967.484 3005.583 3016.443 3201.332 3239.386 3433.341 3509.456 3759.593	-0.027 +0.007 -0.008 -0.007 -0.016 -0.010 -0.026 -0.029	7 6 10 5 10 7 11 10	BS BS HO BS HO BS BS
TW CAS	16758 16765 16767	3759.512 3769.522 3772.379	-0.016 -0.003 -0.004	1€ 18 11	BS BS BS
DO CAS	14388	3777.428	-0.003	9	BS
U CEP	1809 1870 1874 1884 1949 1953 1953 2020 2093	2801.447 2953.529 2963.493 2988.444 3150.473 3160.443 3160.444 3327.493 3509.488	+0.033 +0.041 +0.033 +0.053 +0.034 +0.032 +0.033 +0.049 +0.051	8 15 6 9 12 11 8 5 11	TY Kg Bs Kg Kg Ho Rg Bs
VW CEP	34649 34692	2807.33 0 2819.287	-0.066	. 7	BS BS

VW CEP 34703 2822.330 -0.095 4 BS 34761.5 2838.334 -0.075 5 BS 34764 2839.334 -0.075 5 BS 34778.5 2843.359 -0.079 6 BS 34972.2 2869.431 -0.038 5 BS 34944 2899.425 -0.075 11 K0 35162 2950.497 -0.093 6 BS 35192 2958.478 -0.067 4 BS 35203 2961.520 -0.067 6 BS 35204.5 2967.487 -0.087 6 DT 35224.5 2967.481 -0.087 6 DT 35224.5 2967.481 -0.087 BS S32275 2981.463 -0.078 BS 35224.5 2974.451 -0.078 BT S33300 2988.515 -0.066 BS 35289 2985.41 -0.078 BT S3382.5 S011.472	STAR	EPOCH	HELIO JD 244	0 - C	No	OBSERVER
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	VW CEP	34703	2822.330	-0.095	4	BS
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		34760.5	2838.354	-0.075	5	BS
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		34764	2839.334	-0,068	6	BS
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		34872	2843.333	-0.079	5	BO RG
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		34940.5	2888.467	-0.058	5	BS
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		34944	2889.425	-0.075	11	KĠ
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		35163.5	2950.497	-0.093	6	BS
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		35188.5	2957.482	-0.067	4	BS
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		35192	2338.478	-0.045	2	55 nT
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		35203	2961.520	-0.064	, s	BS
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		35206.5	2962.489	-0.070	ĕ	BS
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		35224.5	2967.481	-0.087	6	DT
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		35224.5	2967.487	-0.081	6	BS
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		35249.5	2974.451	-0.075	5	DT DC
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		35275	2701.403	-0.021	5	150 180
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		35289	2985.461	-0.058	Ž	DU
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		35300	2988.515	-0.066	6	BS
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		35310.5	2991.425	-0.078	4	BS
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		35364.5	3006.455	-0.078	8	DT
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		33368	3007.431	-0.075	5	DI TU
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		35382.5	3011.434		5	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		35389.5	3013.414	-0.076	š	ŤΫ
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		35389.5	3013.417	-0.074	7	BS
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		35390	3013.563	-0.067	8	BS
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		35393	3014.387	-0.078	6	DT
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		35515	3041.419	-0.042	3 7	BS BS
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		35676.5	3093.301	-0.066	5	HU
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		35687.5	3096.335	-0.094	7	ΤY
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		35849	3141.310	-0.067	7	BS
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		35849.5	3141.451	-0.066	6	BS
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		30764	3173.313	-0.071	<u></u>	BC BC
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		35965	3173.575	-0.087	ź	85 85
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		36065	3201.421	-0.073	Ś	DT
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		36201.5	3239.415	-0.069	8	BS
36288 3263.436 -0.103 5 1Y 36288.5 3263.585 -0.113 3 TY 36367 3285.469 -0.077 7 BS 36845 3418.488 -0.094 8 HO 36848 3419.349 -0.067 6 HO 36866 3424.321 -0.106 6 HO 36891 3431.305 -0.080 6 HO 36995 3460.262 -0.074 7 HO 36995 3460.262 -0.068 6 BS 37128 3497.274 -0.072 7 BS 37197 3516.480 -0.070 6 BS 38013 37435 -0.079 5 BS 38070 3759.478 -0.043 10 BS 38073.5 3760.456 -0.040 6 BS 38177.5 3789.338 -0.102 8 IS		36209	3241.488	-0.084	ရွှေ	TY
36367 3285.469 -0.077 7 BS 36867 3285.469 -0.077 7 BS 36845 3418.488 -0.094 8 H0 36848 3419.349 -0.067 6 H0 36866 3424.321 -0.106 6 H0 36891 3431.305 -0.080 6 H0 36999 3436.321 -0.074 7 H0 36995 3460.262 -0.068 6 BS 37128 3497.274 -0.072 7 BS 37197 3516.480 -0.070 6 BS 38013 3743.578 -0.069 6 BS 38070 3759.473 -0.043 10 BS 38073.5 3760.456 -0.040 6 BS 38177.5 3789.338 -0.102 8 IS		35288	3263.406 3929 595	-0.103	5	ן ד דע
36845 3418.488 -0.094 8 H0 36848 3419.349 -0.067 6 H0 36866 3424.321 -0.106 6 H0 36891 3431.305 -0.080 6 H0 36999 3436.321 -0.074 7 H0 36995 3460.262 -0.068 6 BS 37128 3497.274 -0.072 7 BS 37197 3516.480 -0.070 6 BS 36013 3743.578 -0.079 5 BS 38070 3759.478 -0.043 10 BS 38073.5 3760.456 -0.040 6 BS 38177.5 3789.338 -0.102 8 IS		36367	3285,469	-0.077		BS
36848 3419.349 -0.067 6 H0 36866 3424.321 -0.106 6 H0 36891 3431.305 -0.080 6 H0 36999 3436.321 -0.074 7 H0 36995 3460.262 -0.068 6 BS 37128 3497.274 -0.072 7 BS 37197 3516.480 -0.070 6 BS 36013 3743.578 -0.079 5 BS 38070 3759.478 -0.043 10 BS 38073.5 3760.456 -0.040 6 BS 38177.5 3789.338 -0.102 8 IS		36845	3418.488	-0.094	8	ĤŎ
36866 3424.321 -0.106 6 H0 36891 3431.305 -0.080 6 H0 36909 3436.321 -0.074 7 H0 36995 3460.262 -0.068 6 BS 37128 3497.274 -0.072 7 BS 37197 3516.480 -0.070 6 BS 37488 3597.471 -0.069 6 BS 38013 3743.578 -0.079 5 BS 38070 3759.478 -0.043 10 BS 38073.5 3760.456 -0.040 6 BS 38177.5 3789.338 -0.102 8 IS		36848	3419.349	-0.067	6	HQ
36891 3431.305 -0.080 6 H0 36909 3436.321: -0.074: 7 H0 36995 3460.262 -0.068 6 BS 37128 3497.274 -0.072 7 BS 37197 3516.480 -0.070 6 BS 37488 3597.471 -0.069 6 BS 38013 3743.578 -0.079 5 BS 38070 3759.478: -0.043: 10 BS 38073.5 3760.456 -0.040 6 BS 38177.5 3789.338: -0.102: 8 IS		36866	3424.321	-0.106	6	HQ
36995 3430.321 -0.074 7 10 36995 3460.262 -0.068 6 BS 37128 3497.274 -0.072 7 BS 37197 3516.480 -0.070 6 BS 37488 3597.471 -0.069 6 BS 38013 3743.578 -0.079 5 BS 38070 3759.478 -0.043 10 BS 38073.5 3760.456 -0.040 6 BS 38177.5 3789.338 -0.102 8 IS		36891	3431.305	-0.080	6	HU
37128 3497.274 -0.072 7 BS 37197 3516.480 -0.070 6 BS 37488 3597.471 -0.069 6 BS 38013 3743.578 -0.079 5 BS 38070 3759.478 -0.043 10 BS 38073.5 3760.456 -0.040 6 BS 38177.5 3789.338 -0.102 8 IS		36995	3460,262	-0.068	í E	RS
37197 3516.480 -0.070 6 BS 37488 3597.471 -0.069 6 BS 38013 3743.578 -0.079 5 BS 38070 3759.478 -0.043 10 BS 38073.5 3760.456 -0.040 6 BS 38177.5 3789.338 -0.102 8 IS		37128	3497.274	-0.072	7	BS
37488 3597.471 -0.069 6 BS 38013 3743.578 -0.079 5 BS 38070 3759.478 -0.043 10 BS 38073.5 3760.456 -0.040 6 BS 38177.5 3789.338 -0.102 8 IS		37197	3516.480	-0.070	6	BS
38013 3743.578 -0.079 5 BS 38070 3759.478: -0.043: 10 BS 38073.5 3760.456 -0.040 6 BS 38177.5 3789.338: -0.102: 8 IS		37488	3597.471	-0.069	6	BS
38073.5 3760.456 -0.043 10 85 38177.5 3789.338 -0.102 8 IS		38013	3743.378	-0.079	,5	BS BS
38177.5 3789.338: -0.102: 8 IS		38073.5	3760.456	-0.043	10 6	⊅⊃ 8S
		38177.5	3789.338	-0.102	ĕ	ĨŠ

÷

.

,

•

STAR	EPOCH	HELIO JD 244	0 - C	No	OBSERVER
EI C EP	730.5 733 744 749 801 821.5 824	2985.460 3006.460 3099.354 3141.470 3580.369 3753.420 3774.489	+0.037 -0.061 0.000 -0.081 -0.028 +0.015 -0.014	8 11 7 11 8 7 15	DT DT TY BS TY NR BS
EK CEP	967	3284.416	+0.020	4	TY
GK CEP	4889 5047 5054.5 5055.5 5118.5 5206 5361 5486 5510.5 5738 5738 5738 5738 5738 5738	2802.581 2950.497 2957.506 2958.501 3017.522 3099.359 3244.481 3361.450 3384.403 3597.386 3597.411 3743.435 3759.354 3772.448	-0.045 -0.044 -0.056 +0.003: +0.045 -0.033 -0.017 -0.070 -0.053 -0.049 -0.024 -0.043 -0.039 -0.051	6 4 5 11 6 8 7 5 16 9 11 12 17	KG BS BS BS TY AG BS BS BS BS
U CRB	7595	2967.422	-0.033	14	BS
Y CY6	11279.5 11300.5 11307.5 11308.5 11310.5 11313.5 11314.5 11322.5 11403 11404 11406 11415 11417 11420 11459	3331.522 3394.413 3415.392 3418.411 3424.363 3433.363 3436.353 3460.320 3701.492 3704.495 3710.478 3737.435 3743.438 3752.431 3869.289	+0.063 +0.032 +0.036 +0.058 +0.018 +0.029 +0.022 +0.019 -0.014 -0.007 -0.017 -0.027 -0.017 -0.012 -0.011	7 10 10 11 7 62 55 55 10 67	88000000888888888888888888888888888888
V477 CYG	4312 4332 4332 4335 4378	2966,481 3013,416 3013,431 3020,469 3121,375	-0.017 -0.022 -0.007 -0.010 -0.025	6 7 13 5 8	BS Ty BS BS Kg
V1143 C YG	2338	3366.495	+0.024:	12	BS
V1425 CYG	26 92 2696	377 2.355 377 7.374	-0.015	9 10	BS BS
TW DRA	3189 3251 3308 3459	2839.510 3013.546 3173.534 3597.383	-0.050 -0.041 -0.044 -0.032	10 15 16	BS BS BS

*

يلد

S1	ra r	EPOCH	HELIO	JD 244	. 0 - C	No	OBSERVER	
ĤΙ	DRA	3155 3270 3300 3310 3622 3909 3933	283 297 301 302 339 374 377	89.686 77.538 3.510 25.473 99.518 13.575 2.360	+0.017 +0.006 +0.013 -0.012 +0.004 0.000 +0.014	7 7 10 11 7 8 8	BS Ty BS Kg BS BS BS	
B∨	DRĤ	9411.5	365	7.444	+0.009	11	IS	*
S	EQU	1452 1461 1571	295 298 336	7.522 8.463 6.452	+0.001 +0.017 +0.037	4 9 8	BS BS 2	*
Z	HER	7479 7486 7489 7489 7495 7496 7504	294 297 298 298 301 301 304	8.507 6.461 8.438 8.458 2.403 6.409 8.322	-0.001 +0.004 +0.001 +0.022 +0.010 +0.023 -0.007	4595567	BS TY BS TY TY TY BS	
RX	HER	5468.5 5514 5961 5970.5	289 297 377 378	96.528 7.451 2.459 9.359	+0.007 +0.004 -0.009 -0.005	4 9 0 0 0 0	TY Ty BS Is	
τx	HER	6292 6443.5	328 359	5.528 7.566	+0.002 -0.022	6 10	ΤΥ ΤΥ	
u	HER	18117 18135 18449.5	298 302 367	8.478 5.403 0.432	-0.008 -0.002 -0.021	9 6 13	BS Kg Is	*
ĀR	LAC	8165 8166 8278 8338 8456 8523 8526 8632 8640	281 281 304 316 339 352 353 374 375	7.339 9.336 1.454 0.422 4.471 7.326 3.292 3.508 9.380	+0.003 +0.016 +0.014 -0.011 +0.018 -0.002 +0.014 +0.010 +0.015	8 6 9 10 6 7 15 16	BS BS KG BS TY TY BS BS	
ΨU	LEO	8003.5 8005 8010 8565 8593.5	324 324 324 358 359	3.466 4.408 7.397 0.442 7.550	-0.046 -0.004 -0.016 -0.017 -0.012	5 9 8 13	BS T¥ BS T¥ BS	
BETA	LYR	3438 3438.5 3465 3465.5	301 302 3 36 33 6	4.18 0.43 3.45 9.83	+45.47 +45.26 +46.22 +46.15	78 60 48 44	5 2 2	***
U	OPH	20604 2087 7.5	283 329	9.673 8.453	-0.005 +0.021	6 5	BS BS	

,

S1	far -	EPOCH	HELIO JD 244	0 - C	No	OBSERVER
ŋ	0PH	20889.5 20896	3318.543 3329.468	-0.017 +0.005	6	BS BS
V451	OPH	4003 4013.5 4201.5	2958.508 2981.541 3394.466	+0.044 +0.012 -0.023	5 10 7	BS BS BS
V566	OPH	18538.5 18809 18826 18828.5 18836 18848 18850.5 18875 18875 18880 18909 18970 19048 19527 19658.5 19739 20730 20808	2839.695 2950.519 2957.482 2958.500 2961.561 2966.485 2967.510 2977.552 2979.571 2991.490 3016.458 3048.347 3244.628 3298.491 3331.493 3737.405 3769.374	$\begin{array}{c} +0.021\\ +0.037\\ +0.036\\ +0.030\\ +0.027\\ +0.027\\ +0.028\\ +0.034\\ +0.005\\ +0.044\\ +0.024\\ +0.024\\ +0.024\\ +0.019\\ +0.045\\ +0.003\\ +0.020\\ \end{array}$	67458679658875790 10	BS BS BS BS BS BS BS BS BS BS BS BS BS B
ER	ORI	16555.5	3518,351	-0.047	7	BS
AΜ	PEG	1587 1619	3401.515 3741.426	+0.065 +0.055	7 5	BS BS
EE	PEG	3196	3022.405	+0.046	8	BS
ÚМ	PER	3567 3582 37 58 3857	2981.524 3022.421 3502.524 3772.563	+0.026 +0.007 +0.030 +0.024	10 8 8 17	BS BS BS BS
IQ.	FER	1674 1822 1857 1887 1935 2036	3141.351 3399.385 3460.407 3512.716 3596.399 3772.503	+0.022 +0.008 +0.005 +0.007 -0.001 +0.002	13 9 8 5 11	BS BS BS BS BS BS
12	PER	4767 4937	3150.464 3777.349	+0.024 +0.006	13 10	KG BS
SETA	PER	1171 1178 1254 1261 1261 1268 1298 1298 1298 1394 1394 1403	2837.311 2857.352 3075.281 3095.333 3095.339 3115.415 3201.440 3201.445 3442.279 3476.706 3502.516	-0.049 -0.080 -0.073 -0.093 -0.087 -0.083 -0.079 -0.074 -0.101 -0.083 -0.079	851 1187 80 10687 8	BS VB AG TY BS AG AG AG AR BS

STAR	EPOCH	HELIO JD 244	0 - C	No	OBSERVER	
BETA PER	1404 1405 1427 1494 1525	3505.354 3508.235 3571.307 3763.429 3852.312	-0.109 -0.095 -0.105 -0.099 -0.104	8 9 10 23 9	NR Ty Ty Bs Ty	*
SZ PSC	1760 1761 1852 1852	30 95,36 0 30 99,38 8 3460,345 3460,358	-0.016 +0.045 +0.063 +0.078	4 6 6 12	TY Ty Ag Bs	
U SGE	4081 4112 4225	2907.634 3012.431 3394.441	+0.007 +0.005 +0.006	12 5 7	BS T'Y BS	*
CD TAU	4679 4760.5 4762 4762	2866.359 3146.338 3151.476 3151.479	-0.062 -0.047 -0.061 -0.058	7 9 8 13	BS BS KG BS	
hu tau	8526 8724 8816	3173.292 3580.431 3769.634	+0.019 +0.011 +0.034	12 8 16	BS T¥ BS	
u uma	12063 12123 12276 12462 12483 12486 12495 12498 12513 12650.5 12651.5 13034 13034 13064 13130 13130.5 13328 1337 13343 13352 13466 14101 14116.5 14137 14158 14398 14401 14839 14887 14905	2817.395 2837.412 2888.487 2950.502 2957.500 2958.511 2961.512 2962.522 2967.526 3013.404 3013.567 3139.518 3141.341 3151.366 3173.379 3173.550 3239.442 3242.438 3244.433 3247.444 3285.487 3497.343 3502.525 3509.358 3516.361 3580.399 3596.450 3597.444 3743.569 3759.580 3765.585 3772.581	$\begin{array}{c} -0.097\\ -0.099\\ -0.072\\ -0.115\\ -0.124\\ -0.116\\ -0.106\\ -0.107\\ -0.105\\ -0.109\\ -0.109\\ -0.109\\ -0.110\\ -0.122\\ -0.106\\ -0.113\\ -0.110\\ -0.112\\ -0.110\\ -0.112\\ -0.110\\ -0.112\\ -0.110\\ -0.112\\ -0.110\\ -0.111\\ -0.120\\ -0.111\\ -0.120\\ -0.111\\ -0.121\\ -0.121\\ -0.121\\ -0.131\\ -0.131\\ -0.142\end{array}$	54474577578586097445776677776778	85 85 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 5	
TX UMA	1409	3509.422	+0.003	9	BS	

STAR	EPOCH	HELIO JD 244	0 - C	No	OBSERVER	
W UMI	5887 5914	3 472. 386 3518.366	-0.087 -0.041	14 9	2 BS	*
Z VUL	7101 7461	2888.564 3772.335	+0.017 +0.015	5 10	BS BS	
RS VUL	2268 2448	2963.544 3769.591	-0.054 +0.014:	8 24	BS BS	*

÷

CHANGES OF ADDRESS

A. Markham - 33 Church Drive, Leven, Hull, East Yorks. HU17 5LH

Col. J.E.S. Singh - Nehru Planetarium, Teen Murti House, New Delhi - 11011, INDIA

P.B. Withers - 4 Cranborne Gardens, Millers Dale, Chandler's Ford, Eastleigh, Hants. S05 1TA

NEW MEMBERS

1

R. Dudley, Wayside, Flexton, York Y06 7RN

G. Johnson, 14 Heathfield Avenue, Cattisfield, Fareham, Hants. PO15 5QA

R. Lewis, 15 Ivy Dale, Lisvare, Cardiff CF4 5RT

T. Robinson, 3 Roylance Drive, Middlewich, Cheshire CW10 9

SECTION OFFICERS: D.R.B. Saw, 'Upanova', 18 Dollicott, Director Haddenham, Aylesbury, Bucks. HP17 8JG Tel: Haddenham (0844) 292065 S.R. Dunlop, 140 Stocks Lane, East Wittering, Assistant Director nr Chichester, West Sussex PO20 8NT Tel: Bracklesham Bay (0243) 670354 Programme Secetaries: M.D. Taylor, 17 Cross Lane, Wakefield, Secretary West Yorkshire WF2 8DA Tel: Wakefield (0924) 374651 G.A.V. Coady, 15 Cedar Close, Market Deeping, Assistant Secretary Peterborough PE6 8BD Telescopic Tel: Market Deeping (0778) 345396 Assistant Secretary J. Toone, 2 Hilton Crescent, Boothstown, Binocular Worsley, Manchester M28 4FY Tel: 061 702 8619 J.E. Isles, Flat 5, 21 Bishops Bridge Road, Eclipsing Binary Secretary London W2 6BA Tel: 01 724 2803 Nova/Supernova G.M Hurst, 16 Westminster Close, Search Secretary Kempshott Rise, Basingstoke, Hants. RG22 4PP Tel: Basingstoke (0256) 471074 J. Parkinson, 229 Scar Lane, Golcar, Chart Secretary Huddersfield, West Yorkshire

CIRCULARS

Charges: U.K. & Eire - £2 for Circulars and light-curves (4 issues) Other countries - £3

Payments (made out to the BAA) and material for inclusion should be sent to Storm Dunlop.

CHARTS

Charges: Main programme - SAE plus 20p per star (4 charts) All other programmes - SAE plus 5p per star (1 sheet)